# University of Central Florida College of Engineering and Computer Science Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Faculty Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures (AESP)

Intended for first use in the 2020-2021 Evaluation Period.

## Introduction

The faculty members of the Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering (MAE) at UCF have worked to develop an Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures (AESP) plan that will serve as a guide for the faculty members' performance in the areas of teaching, research & scholarly activity, and service. The department's AESP is aligned with the University's guidance for AESPs. It is aligned with the UCF College of Engineering and Computer Science (CECS) missions and UCF's strategic plan. All faculty members of the MAE department are expected to perform tasks and activities that will support the mission and goals of the department.

The activities of faculty members in the areas of teaching, research and service will be evaluated annually using the academic year as stated in the most current UCF BOT-UFF Collective Bargaining Agreement. Each faculty member will complete an annual summary of their activities (Faculty Annual Report) related to teaching, research and service duties. This summary of activities will be evaluated by the Department Chair using the MAE AESP criteria as described in the following sections. The faculty may request and meet individually with the Department Chair to discuss the results of the annual evaluation and any suggestions for improvement and/or FTE changes needed to enhance teaching, research or service for the following academic year.

Upon review of the Faculty Annual Report (FAR) of effort and activities, the MAE Department Chair will assign a rating for each category (i.e. teaching, research & scholarly activity, service, and other duties as assigned). Standard Guidelines outlined in this document will be used by the Department Chair to assign ratings for the various categories.

## Teaching

Unsatisfactory	Fails to meet any one of the minimum teaching requirements (MTR) for two consecutive years
Conditional	Fails to meet any one of the minimum teaching requirements (MTR)
Satisfactory	Meets all of the minimum teaching requirements (MTR)
Above Satisfactory	(Meets the MTR) AND (Meets at least 3 completed items from ETA or ETO)
Outstanding	(Meets all requirements of Above Satisfactory) AND (Meets at least 2 ETOs).

Minimum Teaching Requirements (MTR)	Evidence of Teaching Activity (ETA)	Evidence of Teaching Outcomes (ETO)
<ol> <li>Obtains a combined SPI score of 3.0 or higher on "overall effectiveness of the instructor"*, **</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Involvement in at least one course development activity, such as major course</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Leadership in at least one curriculum development such as initiating, planning and</li> </ol>
2. Submits book orders by deadline provided by the MAE office.	revisions, new course creation, teaching an existing course for the	executing major curriculum revision that employs assignments
<ol> <li>Provides clear, detailed course syllabi that include student learning outcomes and meet the university's requirements.</li> </ol>	first time. 2. Involvement in developing at least one teaching method, e.g. Mixed Mode, Project-Based	and activities clearly tied to student learning outcomes, new teaching lab development, major teaching lab restructuring, or creation
<ol> <li>Teaches according to course syllabi and catalog description.</li> </ol>	Learning (PBL), Evaluation and Proficiency Center (EPC).	of new degree program. 2. Leadership in implementing established
<ol> <li>Meets classes on a regular basis as scheduled.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Development of at least one educational proposal</li> </ol>	but nontraditional teaching methods, such as PBL, Mixed Mode,
<ol> <li>Displays office hours in front of office and provides such info. to</li> </ol>	development, such as NSF NRT, REU, RET, SURF, etc.	Online Course Delivery, etc. 3. Implementation and
MAE office. 7. Holds scheduled office hours. 8. Replies to time-	<ul> <li>4. Supervision of at least one undergraduate research, HIM, REU, etc.</li> <li>5. Serving as a member in</li> </ul>	validation of at least one pedagogical method, such as scholarly work developed and studied
O: Replies to time-		

[Type here]

	-		1	
critical student		at least one thesis or		by faculty, novel teaching
inquiries.		dissertation committee.		effort that results in
9. Provides regular	6.	Chair of at least one		improved student
evaluative feedback to		student thesis and/or		performance, etc.
student assignments.		dissertation committee.	4.	Achieves a combined
10. Provides student	7.	Participation in at least		SPI score of 4.0 or higher
mentoring when		one educational		AND above department
requested, such as in		partnership		average of classes with
EML, EAS advising I/II.		development, such as		comparable enrollment
11. Submits grades on time.		collaboration with CDL,		on "overall effectiveness
12. Provides course		FCTL,		of the instructor."*,**
assessment data on time		interdepartmental or	5.	3 MS thesis or 1 PhD
and per request.		college level		dissertation supervision to
13. Submits information		assessment, etc.		completion during the
requested by	8.	Participation in at least		year or average over the
Assessment/ABET		one of the following		past 3 years, whichever is
committee.		activities: student team		higher.
14. Participates in PhD		competition,	6.	Educational grant award
Qualifying Exams when		independent studies, or		such as NSF NRT,
requested.		direction of projects		REU, RET, SURF, etc.
		and student	7.	Competitive teaching
		organizations.		award such as TIP,
	9.			Teaching excellence
		more classes per		award, SOTL, etc.
		year.	8.	Textbook publication.
			9.	Teaching outcome
				recognition through major
				fellowship, student award,
				such as from NSF, NASA,
				etc.
			10	. Publication related to
				engineering education.

\* The SPI scores in individual courses may be adjusted upward up to 0.5 for large undergraduate classes and/or other considerations, such as first time teaching, new teaching method and unexpected events.

\*\* Combined SPI score will be calculated by taking the arithmetic mean of SPI scores obtained in each course the faculty was assigned classroom teaching during the evaluation period. Courses without classroom teaching such as career advising are excluded in the calculation of the combined SPI score.

#### Research

Unsatisfactory	Fails to meet any one of the minimum research requirements (MRR) for two consecutive years
Conditional	Fails to meet any one of the minimum research requirements (MRR)
Satisfactory	Meets the minimum research requirements (MRR)
Above Satisfactory	(Meets MRR) AND (Meets 3 completed items from any of the ERAs and ERO1 (x,y,z)) AND (Meets at least 2 out of 3 ERO1 metrics (x,y,z)). An impactful contribution to scholarly work can substitute for deficiency in one of ERO1 metrics (x,y,z). The significance of the contribution must be evidenced by recognition (as listed in ERO under <u>Recognition</u> ) in academic or professional community during the evaluation period.
Outstanding	(Meets MRR) AND (Meets ERO2 $(x,y,z)$ ) AND (Has faculty's advisees as first authors in two or more high quality publications*). An impactful contribution to scholarly work can substitute for deficiency in one of ERO2 metrics $(x,y,z)$ . The significance of the contribution must be evidenced by recognition (as listed in ERO under <u>Recognition</u> ) in academic or professional community during the evaluation period.

Minimum Research	Evidence of Research Activity	Evidence of Research
Requirements (MRR)	(ERA)	Outcomes (ERO)
<ol> <li>Publication of 1 scholarly article in a peer-reviewed journal or in a conference proceeding.</li> <li>Trackable research record on Google Scholar.</li> <li>Submission of at least 1 research proposal as PI/CoPI during the year or average over the past 3 years.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Publication of 2 scholarly articles in high quality*, peer-reviewed journals or conference proceedings (faculty are encouraged to publish in major journals of professional engineering societies such as ASME and AIAA).</li> <li>Submission of 2 research proposals as PI/CoPI during the year or average over the past 3 years.</li> <li>Participation in at least one research partnership, such as with industry, other university, research lab.</li> </ol>	ERO Metrics ERO is measured by the metrics using x, y and z. x. Publication of x scholarly articles in high quality*, peer-reviewed journals or conference proceedings (faculty are encouraged to publish in major journals of professional engineering societies such as ASME). y. Maintain an active research program by supporting graduate or (a combination of graduate and postdoctoral students), to the order of \$y or above#. z. Research expenditure, averaged over the current year and

1 Atlanet and	
4. At least one	previous 2 years, or
presentation by	research expenditure of the
research group at	current year, whichever is
technical conferences or	bigger, to the order of \$z or
at different universities,	above##
research institutions, or	
industry.	ERO1
5. Submission of at least	x=3, y=\$44,000, z=\$100,000
one invention/ patent	
disclosure.	ERO2
	x=5, y=\$66,000, z=\$200,000
	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	<u>Recognition</u>
	1. Recognition such as
	distinguished member of
	professional organization
	such as ASME, AIAA,
	etc.
	2. Leadership role in
	research partnership.
	3. Major
	conference/workshop/
	tutorial as keynote or
	invited speaker.
	4. Journal cover or featured
	article.
	5. National or international
	level research award.
	6. Very high number of
	citations.
	7. Patent, innovative
	hardware or software
	invention.
	8. Competitive research
	award, such as RIA, best
	paper award, etc.

\* To ensure a paper is considered high quality, the faculty should submit to journals of relevant professional societies such as ASME, AIAA, or in high impact journals.

\* The \$ amount accounts for total direct personnel costs (for graduate students and/or postdocs only, e.g. stipend) + tuition support + fees provided from internal, external and matching funds administered through ORC or the UCF Research Foundation. Internal and matching funding should be from non-MAE sources. Non-PI contributions to ORC fellowships are excluded.

\*\*\* Research expenditure includes internal, external and matching funds administered through ORC or the UCF Research Foundation. Internal and matching funding should be from non-MAE sources. Non-PI contributions to ORC fellowships are excluded.

### Service

Unsatisfactory	Fails to meet any one of the minimum service requirements (MSR) for two consecutive years.
Conditional	Fails to meet any one of the minimum service requirements (MSR).
Satisfactory	Meets MSR.
Above Satisfactory	(Meets MSR) AND (Meets at least 3 completed items from ESA or ESO).
Outstanding	(Meets MSR) AND (Meets at least 3 completed items from ESO) AND (Significantly contributes in 1 ESO). 2 ESA may be used in lieu of 1 ESO.

Requirements (MSR)Activity (ESA)Outcomes (ESO)			Evidence of Service Outcomes (ESO)
--	--	--	---------------------------------------

4		4			
1.	Unless with chair's prior	1.	1 1	1.	I
	approval, attends all		professional organization,		organization such as
	assigned meetings		such as session chair in a	_	ASME Exec. Comm.
	such as committee		conference or committee	2.	p,
	meetings, AESP		member in a technical		editorship, or editorial
	meetings, etc.		division of professional		board member of
2.	Unless with chair's		societies.		prestigious journals.
	prior approval, attends	2.	Reviewer of at least	3.	External reviewer and
	all dept-wide faculty		one manuscript for a		panel at the state and
	meetings and retreats.		conference or a journal,		national levels such as
3.	Active participation in		or evaluation of at least		NSF panel.
	aforementioned		one external P&T	4.	Chair of a committee or
	meetings.		dossier.		workgroup at UCF.
4.	Timely completion of	3.	Active participation	5.	Event/workshop/
	mandatory		in at least one		organizer in a
	university duties		committee at UCF.		professional conference,
	such as COI,	4.	Active mentoring of other		or other leadership role
	FERPA, etc.		faculty, such as proposal		such as conference track
			review, participating in		chair, general chair, etc.
			faculty development work	6.	Invited talks at other
			group, etc.		universities or industry.
		5.	Volunteer in at least one	7.	Promotional activities,
		-	department, college or		e.g., TV interviews,
			university wide events,		TED talk, magazine
			such as coordinating		articles, etc.
			seminar, hosting external	8.	Leadership in at least
L		L	containing on official	0.	

<ul> <li>visitor, participating in ORC workshop, etc.</li> <li>6. Faculty judge for a student competition at departmental, university or above levels.</li> <li>7. Involvement in at least one K-12 outreach and recruitment activities e.g., open house, recruitment lectures and seminars, National Merit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>one department, college or university support functions such as assessment, retention, policy development, cluster lead, etc.</li> <li>9. Competitive service award at national level, from a professional organization, or at UCF.</li> <li>10. Large donation to UCF, facilitated by faculty.</li> </ul>
recruitment lectures and	10. Large donation to UCF,

Overall Rating	Necessary Condition
Unsatisfactory	If overall rating is conditional for 2 consecutive years
Conditional	"Conditional" in any area of assignment with an assignment of effort of 5% or more
Satisfactory	WT≥2.0 with "Satisfactory" in each area of assignment with an assignment of effort of 5% or more
Above Satisfactory	WT≥2.5 with "Above Satisfactory" in a weighted, major assignment (≥30%) AND "Satisfactory" in others
Outstanding	WT ≥3.5 with "Outstanding" in a weighted, major assignment (≥30%) AND "Satisfactory" in others

Weighted-score Total (WT) = (Teaching FTE \* T) + (Research FTE \* R) + (Service FTE \* S)

- T: Teaching score
- R: Research score
- S: Service score

The table below gives some examples of grading based on the method above:

T,R,S	Score
Unsatisfactory	0
Conditional	1
Satisfactory	2
Above Satisfactory	3
Outstanding	4
Weighted Score	
Grade	