

**UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA  
COLLEGE OF UNDERGRADUATE STUDIES  
OFFICE OF INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES**

**ANNUAL EVALUATION STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES  
FOR FULL TIME FACULTY MEMBERS  
(Approved May 2016, effective August 2016)**

**Annual Evaluation Procedures**

Annual evaluation of faculty members is conducted by the Director, who draws upon faculty members' annual reports and renders assessments for each of the basic categories of Teaching, Research, Service/Professional development and Other Assigned Duties. From these assessments, an overall evaluation is derived.

The following procedure will be employed for the administration of faculty evaluations:

- The faculty member prepares the Faculty Annual Report (FAR) according to the categories designated on the report form. According to the CBA, the Annual Report is due "no sooner than fourteen (14) days after the end of the evaluation period and no sooner than fourteen (14) days after receipt by the employee of all University provided materials required to produce the report, including student evaluations and department or unit and college averages for these evaluations."
- The faculty member submits the FAR, including required addenda, to the Director. In accordance with the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA), faculty can provide material in evidence of teaching quality in cases where the faculty member wishes to highlight extraordinary or unusual efforts, and this will be taken into account in the evaluation. The director can request additional evidence for any item being considered in an evaluation.
- Based on the FAR and discussion with the faculty member, the Director determines an evaluation in accordance with this AESP document for each faculty member in each relevant category as well as calculating an overall evaluation. According to the CBA, the director's evaluation is due to the employee within 60 days after the due date of the FAR.
- If the faculty member believes the evaluation does not accord with the procedures below or is otherwise not in compliance, a grievance may be filed within 30 days of receipt of the evaluation.

**Standards and Ratings for Full Time Faculty**

The Director of the Office of Interdisciplinary Studies will evaluate the performance of each faculty member annually and assign a rating of Outstanding, Above Satisfactory, Satisfactory, Conditional, or Unsatisfactory.

***Approved by Faculty Excellence  
Available for first use academic year 2016-17***

- **Unsatisfactory** indicates substandard performance for the assignment for a second evaluation period in a row, or extreme substandard performance in a single evaluation period
- **Conditional** indicates substandard performance for the assignment.
- **Satisfactory** indicates performance that is at expectation for the assignment.
- **Above Satisfactory** indicates performance above expectation for the assignment.
- **Outstanding** is reserved for exceptional performance for the assignment. It indicates excellence in the profession and adherence to the highest standards of the university and the profession. In order to receive an evaluation of “outstanding”, the faculty member must have at least a “satisfactory” in all categories.

The overall annual evaluation level for full-time faculty members will be determined according to their percentage of effort in each category (i.e., Instructional Activities, Research and Creative Activities, Service, and Other, if relevant) using a mathematical formula based on each faculty member’s distribution of percentage of effort in each category for the given year. The annual percentage of effort assignment for each category will be multiplied according to the following scale (Outstanding = 4, Above Satisfactory = 3, Satisfactory = 2, Conditional = 1, Unsatisfactory = 0), and the results from each category will be added to determine the overall evaluation. The resulting total will be assigned an overall value according to the following scale:

• Outstanding:	3.50-4.00
• Above Satisfactory:	2.50-3.49
• Satisfactory:	1.50-2.49
• Conditional:	0.50-1.49
• Unsatisfactory:	0.00-0.49

### **Assignment of Percentage of Annual Effort**

Each faculty member’s annual assignment of effort will be determined by the Director and will depend on each person’s assignment of particular duties. While all faculty will have different assignments, a typical percentage-based assignment for those on a 3/3 load would be 60/30/10 (teaching/research/service), and a typical assignment for those on a 4/4 load would be 85/5/10.

Tenure-earning faculty will be assigned 5% of annual effort for service, with the remaining percentage of annual effort added to the research assignment. A faculty member with tenure or a non-tenured faculty member will be assigned 10% of his/her annual effort for service, with the exception of those whose teaching loads are reduced due to administrative duties. (For example a typical annual assignment of effort for a tenured faculty member would be Teaching = 60%, Research = 30%, Service = 10%, for a tenure-earning faculty member would be Teaching = 50%, Research = 45%, Service = 5% and for a Lecturer would be Teaching = 85%, Research = 5%, Service = 10%)

***Approved by Faculty Excellence  
Available for first use academic year 2016-17***

Even at a low research assignment (e.g., in the case of lecturers/instructors who have a high teaching load), the expectation is that the faculty member will keep current in his/her field.

### **The Annual Evaluation and its Relation to Other Kinds of Evaluation**

Article 10 of the Collective Bargaining Agreement provides for three separate evaluations: Annual Evaluation (for which this AESP defines the terms of reference), Cumulative Progress Evaluations (CPE), and Sustained Performance Evaluations (SPE). While Annual Evaluations are included in promotion and tenure applications, their primary intent is to evaluate all faculty on an annual basis, regardless of rank, assignment, or promotion/tenure intentions. CPEs, on the other hand, are explicitly intended to be a multi-year assessment of one's progress toward promotion/tenure. As such, it is conceivable that someone could earn a satisfactory or higher on Annual Evaluations for multiple years, and yet receive a CPE that indicates that he/she is not on track for promotion/tenure. It is crucial that candidates for promotion/tenure regard the CPE as the central evaluative document and most useful guide in that process. The Annual Evaluation, on the other hand, is the central evaluative document in relation to a faculty member's annual assignment.

### **I. TEACHING AND INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES**

The Office of Interdisciplinary Studies is committed to excellence in teaching and maintaining the highest standards of the profession. While a set of fairly objective standards has been established by the academic disciplines at large, it is also recognized that a wide range of conditions must be taken into account by the Director in the evaluation process, including higher than average number of preparations or especially complex or intensive course requirements. The general standards for evaluations are based on the following:

- Effectiveness: measured by one or more observation visits per semester by the Director or designee, and by formal contextualized student evaluations, including written comments, and by other measures such as faculty peer observations, faculty portfolios, teaching journals, or mentor reports, as appropriate. One might also volunteer, in further support, a brief discursive summary of accomplishments and commentary on teaching and students, and such documentation as the following:
  - Course syllabi which meet or exceed UCF's content requirements
  - Evidence of student learning as illustrated through course level assessments and other artifacts
  - Special assignments, superior papers or other evidence of exceptional performance from the classroom or supervised students;
  - An invited classroom evaluation by a peer in a related field;
  - An invited classroom evaluation by the Director or designee.

Please note that, in order for student evaluations to play a role in the evaluation process, a significant portion (more than 30%) of the students enrolled in a course need to have filled out these forms.

***Approved by Faculty Excellence  
Available for first use academic year 2016-17***

2. Special Efforts, which may include:
  - Development of new courses including special topics and Honors seminars
  - Extensive revision of established courses, including in relation to mode of delivery
  - Incorporation of innovative teaching practices, such as new technologies, service-learning, international study, design and teaching of Honors courses
  - Other special instructional assignments such as conducting workshops
  - Student contact time (greater than the normal number of office hours/course/term, usually assumed to be 1 hour/week/course)
  - Student availability (advising; RAMP and other sponsoring)
  - Sharing materials and methods, guest lecturing, and helpfulness to colleagues (mentoring; sharing ideas; teaching circles)
3. One-on-One Activities: advisement, thesis direction, independent studies, student conferences, guest presentations, mentorship of faculty or GTAs, and internship supervision.
4. Recognition: teaching grants, awards, media articles or interviews, other formal recognition of excellence.

### **Unsatisfactory**

Failure to meet the minimum conditions for satisfactory performance for a second consecutive evaluation period without improvement over the prior "Conditional" evaluation, or extreme substandard performance in the current evaluation period.

### **Conditional**

Failure to meet the minimum conditions for satisfactory performance.

### **Satisfactory**

The faculty member will receive a rating of "Satisfactory" in teaching based on fulfilling all of the following standards (this applies to faculty of all loads):

1. Meets classes on a regular basis as scheduled
2. Holds scheduled office hours
3. Replies in a timely fashion (generally within three business days when classes are in session) to student inquiries
4. Provides and follows clear, detailed course syllabi that meet the university requirements
5. Provides regular evaluative feedback on student assignments
6. Meets with students during the final examination period in compliance with university regulations
7. Submits grades on time
8. Submits book orders on time as required by state legislation.

***Approved by Faculty Excellence  
Available for first use academic year 2016-17***

### **Above Satisfactory**

The faculty member will receive a rating of “Above Satisfactory” if the faculty member meets the standards for a “Satisfactory” rating and in addition attains four of the following (#3, 5, 6, 7 can count multiple times) While not all of these may be open to all faculty members, there are enough entries on this list to give an equitable chance for all faculty to attain “above satisfactory”. Note that the difference between loads is addressed within specific entries on this list. There is no difference in the number of entries required for an “above satisfactory” for those on a 3/3 or 4/4 load.

1. Has student evaluations in a majority of courses above the unit and college mean, or has a majority of ratings in very good or excellent (measured by a comparison of the “Overall Assessment of Instructor” category for excellent and very good). For those with a teaching assignment of more than 80%, this can count as satisfying two standards.
2. Receives and/or requests two classroom evaluations (which may include evaluative observations of on-line classes) from the Director or Director's designate, and receives positive evaluations (evaluation must be provided to the Office if not done by Director)
3. Chairs a completed Thesis (Honors in the Major, Masters, PhD)
4. Serves as a committee member for one completed Honors in the Major, MA, MFA or PhD theses and dissertations
5. Serves as a committee member for two additional completed Honors in the Major, MA, MFA or PhD theses and dissertations
6. Directs independent study or directed reading, directed research courses (or equivalent courses) or supervises an internship that totals at least two hours of semester credit
7. Completes a faculty development conference sponsored by the UCF Faculty Center for Teaching and Learning or an equivalent workshop conducted by a professionally recognized organization, or participates in 6 hours of FCTL workshops during the evaluation period
8. Teaches an Honors interdisciplinary seminar in which student evaluations are above the unit and college mean, or has a majority of ratings in very good or excellent (measured by a comparison of the “Overall Assessment of Instructor” category for excellent and very good).
9. Creates substantial innovative teaching materials (for example, supporting the GEP Unifying Theme, teaching an FCTL workshop, maintaining a widely-used web site) and shares them with other faculty
10. Serves as an invited classroom observer or mentors new or junior faculty: shares ideas, assignments, best practices, syllabi, etc.
11. Spearheads or participates and contributes substantially to the creation or design of significant program curriculum revision or supports revisions of a program's curriculum by developing new courses
12. Teaches service-learning course.
13. Prepares a white paper or a proposal for a new program
14. Performs some other noteworthy teaching activity or teaching development that is not included in the above items. Faculty must provide documentation of such noteworthy activity

***Approved by Faculty Excellence***

***Available for first use academic year 2016-17***

## **Outstanding**

The faculty member will receive a rating of “Outstanding” if the faculty member meets the standards for an “Above Satisfactory” rating and in addition attains one (1) of the following. There is no difference in the number of entries required for an “outstanding” for those on a 3/3 or 4/4 load.

1. Fulfills a total of two additional (for a total of six) of the standards in the “Above Satisfactory” category
2. Wins a UCF TIP or SoTL Award
3. Wins a UCF excellence in teaching award
4. Wins a teaching award from a regional, national, or international organization in the faculty member’s discipline (NOTE: Appropriate documentation must be supplied by the faculty member.

## **II. RESEARCH AND CREATIVE ACTIVITIES**

Different Programs within the Office of Interdisciplinary Studies (Environmental Studies and Interdisciplinary Studies, for example) have a different set of standards for judging the prestige of research and creative activity. The list below offers a rough guideline for evaluation, but the differences among specialties should be taken into account by the Director during the annual evaluation process. This list may be supplemented by advice to the Director from members of the various specialties, especially in regard to items that may indicate sustained research but do not lead directly or immediately to publications (note — such advice is not to be understood as directly contributing to the evaluation, or substituting for the Director’s responsibility in this regard). Judgments of research productivity will be made in light of the portion of faculty members’ assignments that are assigned to research and in light of the scholarly genres and expectations in their fields.

It should be noted that, regardless of specialty, publications and funded grant activities are a primary goal of scholarly and creative research. At the same time, it is recognized that the publication cycles of academic presses, peer reviewed journals, literary magazines, and the like may result in a faculty member’s productivity being skewed from one year to the next. As a result, the Director will need to take into account faculty productivity in at least the two years immediately prior to the annual evaluation being conducted. For example, in the first case, faculty members who have published several articles in one year may not need to publish any articles in the next year to receive a Satisfactory ranking as long as they have met other of the listed expectations. The Director may take into account a faculty member's forthcoming work (accepted, in press, or under contract, and work under consideration, including grant applications) in a third year of such a cycle in making this judgment. Although the Director may exercise some judgment in deciding when to give credit for publications in press, in general publication will not be credited in two annual evaluations unless it is of book length.

***Approved by Faculty Excellence  
Available for first use academic year 2016-17***

On research and diverse assignments in the Office:

### **Tenure-earning and tenured faculty members**

The criteria below assume a faculty member with approximately 30% of their assignment devoted to research. 30% is considered a normal load for research-active faculty in the Office. In consultation with the Director, evaluation expectations will be adjusted when assigning a higher or lower percentage research assignment.

For faculty members with a higher percentage of assignment dedicated to research than the unit norm, those persons will be expected to produce at least one additional "satisfactory" criterion or its equivalent for each additional 10% of research dedication to earn a "Satisfactory" rating. The same applies for "Above Satisfactory" and "Outstanding" Ratings.

The inverse applies for those tenure-earning and tenured faculty with a lower percentage of assignment dedicated to research than the unit norm for research active faculty; one less 'satisfactory' standard or its equivalent will be required for satisfactory, above satisfactory or outstanding ratings for each 10% less than the norm in research. This can also be satisfied by demonstrating appropriate progress on one of the criteria for a "Satisfactory" rating.

### **Non-tenure faculty, instructors and lecturers**

For those with less than 10% Assignment in research (e.g. in the case of instructors/lecturers who have a higher teaching load), the expectation for satisfactory performance in the research category entails that the faculty member will keep current in his/her field. By documenting activity such as ongoing reading of scholarly works, attendance of conferences and colloquia, among other possible indicators, a faculty member with below 10% research assignment can attain "Above satisfactory" rating in research. A faculty member assigned more than none but less than 10% of research will be evaluated as Outstanding in research if the faculty member completed any one of the standards included in the satisfactory or above satisfactory list below during the evaluation time period.

### **Special Cases**

A substantial authored book (i.e., a monograph with a reputable academic publisher) should be allowed to count for major significance in the annual research review over a three-year period. If faculty members have used work on the book or acceptance of a contract to qualify for their ratings for a year or two years prior to the work's publication, then those years will be counted as part of the three-year span. If not, the years will be counted forward from the year of the work's publication.

In the evaluation process of research and scholarship, the Director is encouraged to consider qualitative measures whenever possible, weighing such factors as the relative ranking of publication venues (presses and journals), the acceptance rates for journals, the status of publication sites in the profession, and the competitiveness and academic standing of conferences and professional meetings.

***Approved by Faculty Excellence  
Available for first use academic year 2016-17***

In the case where a faculty member considers a publication normally qualifying for Satisfactory as having extraordinary merit or unusual influence on the field, that person may present a case for that item counting as sufficient for Above Satisfactory.

An increasing amount of publishing activity is occurring online, including the transition of established print journals to electronic formats. Therefore, no distinction will be made in evaluations between online and print journals per se. Rather, claims for the significance or special recognition of a publication will be based on the journal's prestige and not its format of delivery.

The faculty member and Director may agree on an interdisciplinary project that is not explicitly covered in the criteria below. In that situation, the project could be considered in the evaluation process as long as the standards for satisfactory performance are agreed upon in advance.

### **Unsatisfactory**

Failure to meet the minimum conditions for satisfactory performance for a second consecutive evaluation period without improvement over the prior "Conditional" evaluation, or extreme substandard performance in the current evaluation period.

### **Conditional**

Failure to meet the minimum conditions for satisfactory performance.

### **Satisfactory**

The faculty member will receive a rating of "Satisfactory" for meeting one (1) of the following standards:

1. Demonstration of appropriate progress on a book-length manuscript (i.e., progress satisfactory to meeting contract or publisher's deadlines).
2. Presents a peer-reviewed paper at a conference
3. Publishes a substantial article in a non-peer-reviewed journal or a non-peer-reviewed conference proceedings volume
4. Publishes a translation or an interview with a prominent author in a peer-reviewed journal
5. Publishes a book review in a top-tier journal or major newspaper (such as the New York Times, Los Angeles Times, Chicago Tribune)
6. Publishes a scholarly article or essay, or publishes a paper in a peer reviewed conference proceedings for areas such as cognitive sciences where such work is equivalent to a peer reviewed journal article.
8. Publishes a creative article or essay, or an interdisciplinary or creative project in a peer-reviewed journal. In the case of creative or non-traditional interdisciplinary work, the faculty member should provide the appropriate means of determining quality in the area (e.g., determining acceptance rates for a literary magazine, reviews of performances, etc.)
9. Publishes a book chapter in a peer-reviewed or invited volume

***Approved by Faculty Excellence***

***Available for first use academic year 2016-17***



10. Prepares an application and applies for an external grant
11. Is awarded an internal grant for research
12. Presents an invited or keynote address at a regional conference
13. Gives a reading of creative work at a university or other major venue (e.g., national or international book fair)
14. Receives at least a revise-resubmit response from the submission of a new article or related project to a peer-reviewed journal

### **Above Satisfactory**

The faculty member will receive a rating of "Above Satisfactory" if the faculty member exceeds the "Satisfactory" standard in the following ways:

1. Meet at least two of the "Satisfactory" criteria (or one criterion more than once), with at least one being an actual publication or a significant deliverable resulting from a significant grant. (e.g., a final report for an NEH or NEA grant)
2. Meet one of the "Satisfactory" criteria, plus one of the following;
  - a.) Presents two papers at regional or national conferences, or presents a paper at an international conference
  - b.) Presents an invited or keynote address at a national/ international conference
  - c.) ) Is awarded an external grant for research, or continued administration and execution of the research aspects of a multi-year grant.
  - d.) Publishes a translation of notable length in a recognized journal
  - e.) Significant sustained work on a book, of which the quantity and quality of the writing can be documented by samples, a contract, option, letter of interest, or other demonstration that the project is likely to be published by a scholarly or creative press with national distribution and reputation and documentation that the faculty member has made significant progress on the project in the evaluation period.

### **Outstanding**

The faculty member will receive a rating of "Outstanding" if the faculty member exceeds the "Above Satisfactory" standard in at least one of the following ways:

1. Meet at least three of the "Satisfactory" criteria, with at least two being actual publications (i.e., peer reviewed publications in academic journals or conference proceedings, or chapters in academic books)
2. Meets two of the "Satisfactory" criteria, with at least one being an actual publication or a significant deliverable resulting from a significant grant, plus one of the "above satisfactory" criteria.
3. Publication of a single-authored book in the faculty's field published by a scholarly or creative press with a national distribution and prestigious reputation. Book may be interpreted as any major project that undergoes professional review and achieves independent trade or academic publication, in particular scholarly works, but also textbooks, independently evaluated scholarly websites, or other significant nonfiction studies; novels; collections of short fiction, literary nonfiction, poems, or articles; a play, film script; or other recognized achievement
4. Publication of a jointly authored book by a scholarly or creative press with a national distribution and reputation in which the faculty member can demonstrate at least a

### ***Approved by Faculty Excellence***

***Available for first use academic year 2016-17***

- 50% contribution (note: lesser levels of contribution do not guarantee an outstanding evaluation)
5. Publication of an edited or co-edited book by a scholarly or creative press with a national distribution and reputation in which the faculty member can demonstrate at least a 50% contribution (note: lesser levels of contribution do not guarantee an outstanding evaluation)
  6. Book-length translation with a reputable publisher
  7. Research award from a professional organization
  8. Meet the criteria for "Above Satisfactory", plus one of the following
    - a.) Wins a UCF RIA Award
    - b.) Wins a CAH or UCF award for research excellence
    - c.) Is awarded multiple external grants or one very large or prestigious grant (e.g., major NEH grant, major foundation grant) as defined by standards in one's specialty. These standards will vary between areas that typically have access to major funding streams and those that do not, so a \$30K NEA grant may be equivalent for humanities-based scholars to a \$300K NSF grant for cognitive science related scholars.

### **III. SERVICE**

All faculty members in the Office of Interdisciplinary Studies are expected to share in the work of the Office. All members should expect to attend Unit meetings, serve on relevant committees, attend UCF graduation ceremonies as needed, and serve in other roles during any term spent in residence at the University when not excused entirely for a period of time for sabbatical or medical leave. In addition, faculty may engage in service work for the University, for their discipline, or for their profession. Faculty members should not expect to receive a Satisfactory evaluation for service if they do not meet these minimum expectations.

All tenured faculty members are expected to participate actively in the annual cumulative progress evaluation process concerning the tenure-earning faculty, all tenured faculty are expected to participate in the tenure review process when a colleague applies for tenure and promotion to associate professor, and all professors are expected to participate when a colleague applies for promotion to professor. More senior members are expected to assume leadership and mentorship roles appropriate to their experience and expertise. Tenure-earning members of the Office should take care to avoid (and more senior members should help them to avoid) assuming too many service duties such that they interfere with their more important responsibilities to develop as teachers and scholars.

Below are the standards for full-time faculty to achieve a rating of satisfactory, above satisfactory or outstanding in service for the annual faculty evaluation. These standards indicate service at the unit, college, university, community, and profession levels.

The standards below assume the typical percentage of assignment for Service as 10%. When the percentage of assignment for service differs from the norm by at least 5%, the standards for assessing a faculty member's service contributions will be adjusted as

***Approved by Faculty Excellence  
Available for first use academic year 2016-17***

follows: for each additional 5% allotted to service, an additional item from the list for a satisfactory evaluation will be required to receive a satisfactory, above satisfactory, or outstanding rating. The inverse applies for each 5% of assignment less than the unit norm: one less item will be required to receive a satisfactory, above satisfactory or outstanding rating.

Journal editing, for which a faculty member does not receive alternate workload or have a pre-existing agreement for its assignment of percentage of effort, may have that work count as "Other Duties." The Director, in consultation with the faculty member, will stipulate the percentage of effort, up to a maximum of 5%, and whether that percentage is to be deducted from the Research or the Service segment of the annual assignment.

### **Unsatisfactory**

Failure to meet the minimum conditions for satisfactory performance for a second year in a row, or extreme substandard performance in the evaluation period.

### **Conditional**

Failure to meet the minimum conditions for satisfactory performance.

### **For a Satisfactory Rating**

The faculty member will receive a rating of "Satisfactory" if the faculty member meets three of the following standards, drawing from at least two of the items in the following list. At least one of these should include service on a Unit committee or some other activity that fulfills service to the Office of Interdisciplinary Studies, unless other arrangements are made with the Director. Also, all faculty members are responsible for providing documentation for all non- UCEF service, such as letters of appointment, invitations to review manuscripts, or requests to serve as external evaluators. In addition, to receive credit for any of the items that follow, the service must be at least satisfactory in the judgment of the Director or other relevant supervisor. For example, those who do not attend regularly scheduled committee meetings or complete necessary service work in a timely and professional manner will not get credit for such committee work or other service.

1. Serves on one or more standing committees within the Unit
2. Chairs a committee within the Unit, College or University
3. Serves on a search committee or other ad hoc committee within the Office, College, or University
4. Serves as a program director unless this is assigned/evaluated under "other duties".
5. Administrates or contributes significantly to program assessment
6. Advises or provides other substantial service to a student organization
7. Serves on a College committee (for example, Promotion and Tenure, TIP Criteria, TIP Selection, RIA Selection, Sabbatical, Curriculum, Dean's Advisory)
8. Serves on a university committee (for example, Promotion and Tenure, Curriculum, Graduate College)
9. Serves on Faculty Senate

***Approved by Faculty Excellence***

***Available for first use academic year 2016-17***

10. Serves as officer, board member or in some other major role for an organization related to UCF
11. Gives a public lecture to a local or regional group or organization related to one's areas of expertise
12. Gives a talk to a public, private, or charter school related to one's areas of expertise
13. Participates in contest judging for a public, private, or charter school or education-related community organization
14. Consults with a public, private, or charter school
15. Organizes a program for a public, private, or charter school
16. Serves as an officer for a local, regional, state, national or international professional organization, or serves on a State University System or federal level committee
17. Contributes significantly in some other way to a local, regional, state, national or international professional organization (serves on an awards committee, for example, or helps to organize a conference, or sits on a governing body)
18. Evaluates a manuscript for a professional journal or assesses a book for publication for a press
19. Serves as a manuscript review coordinator for a professional journal
20. Serves as an editor of a journal or magazine in the faculty member's discipline (unless this activity is placed under Other Duties in the assignment of effort)
21. Serves as a chairperson for, or a moderator on, a panel at a state, regional, national or international professional meeting
22. Provides a published or broadcast interview on a subject pertaining to interdisciplinary or environmental studies to a local or national media outlet
23. Organizes a public lecture by a distinguished lecturer from outside UCF at UCF
24. Organizes a professional conference, seminar, or leads a workshop or organizes a colloquium series.
25. Serves on an advisory professional board or an editorial board, or serves on a grant or fellowship selection committee for a state or federal agency or a foundation.
26. Receives externally funded grants to benefit the University, College, and/or Unit concerning a service-related issue
27. Mentors students outside the Unit through a UCF Office, such as TRIO, RAMP, or McNair
28. Represents the Unit at two UCF graduation ceremonies in the evaluation period
29. Serves in a role not listed above that the Chair designates as fulfilling service to the Unit, College, University, or profession

### **For an Above Satisfactory Rating**

The faculty member will receive a rating of "Above Satisfactory" if the faculty member satisfies the criteria for "Satisfactory" and meets one additional standard listed above to total four (4).

### **For an Outstanding Rating**

The faculty member will receive a rating of "Outstanding" if the faculty member satisfies the criteria for "Satisfactory" and meets two additional standards listed above to total five (5) of the standards listed above or receives a College or UCF service award. At least one of

***Approved by Faculty Excellence***

***Available for first use academic year 2016-17***

these should include service on a committee or some other activity that fulfills service to the Unit, unless other arrangements are made with the Director.

**Special Cases**

When the work of a particular service item requires an above average or extraordinary amount of time and effort in a given year (e.g., heading up a large curriculum revision, spearheading a new program proposal) a faculty member may request that the Director consider that service work equivalent to fulfilling two of the standards.